

REMARKS

This amendment is being submitted under Rule 116 to place the application in condition for allowance or at least in improved condition for appeal. Accordingly, applicant requests the Examiner to enter the proposed amendment.

Applicant has amended claim 6 to make it dependent from claim 5 rather than claim 4. Applicant has added words to claim 43 but these words do not effect claim 43 in any way.

Applicant notes the following paragraph on page 20 of the Office Action.

"11. Claim 59 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this office Action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and interviewing claims."

Applicant has not been able to find any reference in the office Action other than that quoted above, relating to an objection to the language in claim 59.

Applicant has amended claims 59 and 60 to make the recitations in these claims consistent with the discussion in the specification. Applicant hopes that the amendment to claims 59 and 60 will overcome any objections that the Examiner may have had with respect to claim 59.

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to enter the proposed amendment at an early time. Applicant will be paying the appeal fee before July 20, 2004 and will be filing an appeal fee shortly thereafter.

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to enter applicant's proposed amendment. This will limit applicant's appeal to the allowability of the claims over the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP

By: Ellsworth R. Roston
Ellsworth R. Roston
Registration No. 16,310

HOWARD HUGHES CENTER
6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90045
Telephone: (310) 824-5555
Facsimile: (310) 824-9696
Customer No. 24201

ERR:dmc